



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,212	08/28/2003	Robert W. Byren	PD-03W043	7151
23915 7590 11/27/2007 PATENT DOCKET ADMINISTRATION RAYTHEON SYSTEMS COMPANY P.O. BOX 902 (E1/E150) BLDG E1 M S E150 EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-0902			EXAMINER DIEP, NHON THANH	
			ART UNIT 2621	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/27/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/650,212

Applicant(s)

BYREN, ROBERT W.

Examiner

Nhon T. Diep

Art Unit

2621

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nhon T. Diep.

(3) _____

(2) Joseph Golant, Reg. 24,210.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 09 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 30.

Identification of prior art discussed: Turpin (US 5,751,243).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


NHON DIEP
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant initiated an interview to explain and to discuss ways to expedite the prosecution of the present application. It is noted that claims discussed as per amendment filed with the Request for Continued Examination on 9/14/2007. The examiner thanks the applicant for clearly explained the differences between the present application and the applied prior art and agreed that limitation of "a controller for directing said scan mirror to adjust a position of said interference pattern on said (optical storage) medium in response to motion of said platform relative to said target" of claim 30 appears to overcome the rejections of record. It is also agreed that the above limitation will be incorporated into other independent claims (claims 1, 10 and 31); and newly amended claims 37-42, which are directed to different species will be cancelled to expedite the prosecution of the present application.